

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CED STATES DEPARTM

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/749,827 12/31/2003 Anthony Stephen Fuccione 1826 7590 10/04/2006 EXAMINER ANTHONY S. FUCCIONE SKIBINSKY, ANNA 155 OCEAN STREET LYNN, MA 01902 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1631

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/749,827	FUCCIONE, ANTHONY STEPHEN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anna Skibinsky	1631
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This action is application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	— is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-67 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7,36,38,40 and 44 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6, 8-35, 37, 39, 41-43, 45-67 are s Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acceptable and set application.	awn from consideration. subject to restriction and/or election er.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/749,827 Page 2

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objection

1. Claim 7, 36, 38, 40, and 44 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should depend on a single claim, for example "2, 3, 4 or 5" **not** "2, 3, 4, and 5". See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 7, 36, 38, 40, and 44 are not been further treated on the merits.

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 20, drawn to evaluating and profiling electrodynamic interaction based on genomic response in cells, by studying of energy and usage within the cell based on **electronic properties of DNA**.
- II. Claims 3, 4, drawn to evaluating and profiling electrodynamic interaction by creating electronic signature and nature of biological systems
- III. Claims 5, 9, 17, 19, 21, 22, 26, 29, 34, 35, 37, 48, 50-60 evaluating and profiling electrodynamic interaction using **electromagnetic properties**.
- IV. Claims 10, 61, 62 drawn to evaluating and profiling electrodynamic interaction based on genomic response in cells **creating devices to study biological cells**.
- V. Claims 11, 12, 14, 15, 28, 45-47, 48 drawn to evaluating and profiling electrodynamic interaction based on genomic response in cells through chemical and mechanical relationships between cellular components.

Art Unit: 1631

VI. Claim 16 drawn to evaluating and profiling electrodynamic interaction based on genomic response in cells through biophysical properties of biochemical interactions.

VII. Claims 13, 18, 33, 39, 41, 42 drawn to evaluating and profiling electrodynamic interaction based on genomic response in cells based on electron transfer properties of molecules in the cell.

VIII. Claims 23, 24, 25, 31, drawn to evaluating and profiling electrodynamic interaction based on genomic response in cells based on ion transfer properties.

- IX. Claim 27, 30, 32, 43 drawn to evaluating and profiling electrodynamic interaction based on genomic response in cells based on the **cell's cycle**.
- X. Claim 63 drawn to a method of using biodynamics to evaluate eastern and western medicine together.
- XI. Claims 64-67 drawn to simulating the brain nervous system.

The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I-XI are directed to related methods of evaluating and profiling electrodynamic interaction based on genomic responses in cells. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j).. Furthermore, the inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/749,827

Art Unit: 1631

as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants. In the instant case, the inventions as claimed:

Group I evaluates and profiles electrodynamic interaction based on genomic response in cells, by studying of energy and usage within the cell based on **electronic properties of DNA** while groups II-XI do not.

Group II evaluates and profiles electrodynamic interaction by creating electronic signature and nature of biological systems while group I and III-XI do not.

Group III evaluates and profiles electrodynamic interaction using electromagnetic properties while groups I, II, and IV-XI do not.

Group IV evaluates and profiles electrodynamic interaction based on genomic response in cells **creating devices to study biological cells** while groups I-III and V-X1 do not.

Group V evaluates and profiles electrodynamic interaction based on genomic response in cells through **biophysical properties of biochemical interactions** while groups I-IV and VI-XI do not.

Group VI evaluates and profiles electrodynamic interaction based on genomic response in cells through **biophysical properties of biochemical interactions** while group I-V and VII-XI do not.

Group VII evaluates and profiles electrodynamic interaction based on genomic response in cells based on **electron transfer properties** of molecules in the cell while groups I-VI and VIII-XI do not.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,827

Art Unit: 1631

Group VIII evaluates and profiles electrodynamic interaction based on genomic response in cells based on **ion transfer properties** while groups I-VII and IX-XI do not.

Group IX evaluates and profiles electrodynamic interaction based on genomic response in cells based on the **cell's cycle** while groups I-VIII and X, XI do not.

Group X is a method of using biodynamics to evaluate eastern and western medicine together while groups I-IX and XI are not.

Group XI simulates the brain nervous system while groups I-X do not.

For the reasons listed above Groups I-XI are distinct and separate as classified in the art. Thus a search if done together would entail an undue search burden.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Page 6

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anna Skibinsky whose telephone number is (571) 272-4373. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on (571) 272-0188. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,827

Art Unit: 1631

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anna Skibinsky, PhD

ANDREW WANG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600